**Calendar Event and   
Task List Manager:**

**Usability Test Report**



**Prepared by Team Thundercats**

Shubhangi Rakhonde

David Schechter

Zayd Hammoudeh

**Date of Submission: March 4, 2015**

# Summary

The usability test for Team Thundercats’ Calendar Event and Task List Manager was performed on February 26, 2015. We received beneficial and meaningful feedback from both the tester as well as from the class as a whole; this feedback motivated specific improvements to our design. We have detailed those changes in the subsequent sections including both the theoretical foundations for the improvements as well as before and after images.

# Calendar Event Color Coding

As described previously, the main “feature” of our application is the central calendar; it is the largest in terms of size and the densest in terms of information. When deciding on the color scheme for calendar appointments, our original focus was on ensuring consistency by making all of the calendar events the same color as shown in figure 1.

Figure – Original Calendar Event Coloring

When a user is examining a webpage, they typically do not “read” the text it in the traditional sense. Rather, the user’s behavior is more akin to scanning where they are looking for specific keys and triggers. One of the points of feedback we received during the usability test was that users should be able to configure the calendar so that appointments can individually have different colors. This recommendation aligns with the Gestalt principle of similarity where a user typically associates things that are visually similar (in this case similar through color).  To that end, an example where color coding of events would be useful is when a user wants to visually differentiate professional and personal appointments by making the two categories different colors. Our modified calendar with event color coding is shown in figure 2.




Figure – Modified Calendar Appointment Color Coding

# Menu Bar Naming and Ordering

# To-Do Item Due Date

Our application combines calendar appointments (which we describe as synchronous events) with to-do items (which we describe as asynchronous tasks that are not associated with a specific time). The intent behind the to-do list was that the user could do the task at his/her convenience. As such, in our original form to-do task creation form shown in figure 3, we did not provide a feature for the user to add a “Due” (or to borrow a legal term a “Drop Dead”) date, which would serve as the absolute latest the task could be done.

Figure – Original To-Do Task Creation Form

The usability tester mentioned that she would like to have “due dates” for tasks. For example, if a task was to “File Income Tax”, the “due date” would be April 15. To accommodate this feature, we added a “Due Date” field to the task creation form as shown in figure 4. This field is optional (as denoted by no red star next to the description) since not all tasks will necessarily have a due dte.




Figure – Modified To-Do Task Creation Form

With the addition of the “Due Date” field to the task, the inlay list used to display all tasks also needed to be updated to include a field for this information. The updated “Due Date” field in the to-do list is shown in figure 4.




Figure – Modified To-Do List with Due Date Field

# Skipping the Setting of a To-Do Item’s Completion Time

In our mental model for the to-do list, we had expected that when a user completed an item that they would want to specify the task’s completion time the vast majority of the time. As such, when we designed the menu for completing a task, we did not feature prominently the feature to bypass specifying the task completion time.

Figure 5 is the original menu for specifying a task’s completion time; for a user to bypass this menu, they click the “X” in the top right corner. Admittedly, this process is not optimally intuitive.

Figure – Original Menu to Specify the Completion Time of a To-Do Item

During the usability test, the user showed little interest in specifying a task completion time and was rather confused by the process. In her mental model, this feature did not provide much utility, and she quickly wanted to bypass this feature. To address her feedback, we modified the task completion form as shown in figure 6 to make the feature to skip the step of specifying a task completion time by adding a “Skip” button. With the introduction of this new button, we also thought the “**XXXXXXXXXX**” button’s text should be modified since the text no longer made as much logical sense in the new model. As such, that button’s text was modified to “Set Completion Time”, which we believe more completely describes its functionality.




Figure – Modified Task Completion Time Menu